

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349193592>

Hyperventilation-breath-hold maneuver to detect ischemia by strain-encoded CMR: a pilot study to evaluate a needle-free stress protocol

Article in European Heart Journal Cardiovascular Imaging · February 2021

DOI: 10.1093/ejci/jeaa356.294

CITATIONS

2

READS

29

8 authors, including:



Marco Ochs

Universität Heidelberg

42 PUBLICATIONS 176 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Janek Salatzki

Universität Heidelberg

44 PUBLICATIONS 208 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Andreas Ochs

Universität Heidelberg

10 PUBLICATIONS 24 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Matthias G. Friedrich

McGill University Health Centre

443 PUBLICATIONS 18,080 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Project Software-based evaluation algorithms for dynamic oxygenation-sensitive CMR studies. [View project](#)



Project Imaging Guidelines [View project](#)

Hyperventilation-breath-hold maneuver to detect ischemia by strain-encoded CMR: a pilot study to evaluate a needle-free stress protocol

Kajzar I.; Ochs MM.; Salatzki J.; Ochs AT.; Riffel J.; Osman N.; Katus HA.; Friedrich MG.

University hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: None.

Background: Safety concerns for gadolinium-containing contrast agents and pharmacological stressors, together with tremendous costs and inconsistent reimbursement modalities are still limiting a widespread application of CMR stress testing despite proven benefits. Like the effect of adenosine, hyperventilation-breath-hold-maneuver (HVBH) has demonstrated to induce myocardial vasodilation and might serve as a stressor to induce coronary steal with consecutive hypokinesia.

Purpose: To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of a needle-free, ultrafast stress test to detect myocardial ischemia by strain-encoded CMR (fSENC) after HVBH.

Methods: Patients referred for CMR stress testing underwent an extended protocol in order to evaluate three different stress forms: (1) Adenosine first-pass perfusion (Ad-FPP), (2) Adenosine-fSENC (Ad-fSENC) and (3) HVBH-fSENC. Diagnostic accuracy was assessed using quantitative coronary angiography as reference.

Results: One-hundred-twenty-two predominantly male patients (age 66 ± 11 years; 80% men) suspected for obstructive CAD were enrolled. Ad-fSENC and HVBH-fSENC provided significantly better diagnostic accuracy than Ad-FPP, both on a patient-level (Ad-fSENC: sensitivity 82%[65-93], specificity 83%[73-90], $p < 0.05$; HVBH-fSENC: sensitivity 81%[64-93], specificity 86%[77-93], $p < 0.05$) and territory-level (Ad-fSENC: sensitivity 67%[52-80], specificity 93%[90-96], $p < 0.05$; HVBH-fSENC: sensitivity 63%[47-76], specificity 95%[91-97], $p < 0.05$). The stress response of longitudinal strain differs significantly between ischemic and non-ischemic segments to Adenosine ($LS_{\text{Ischemic}} = 0.6 \pm 5.4\%$, $LS_{\text{Non-ischemic}} = 0.9 \pm 2.7\%$, $p < 0.05$) and HVBH ($LS_{\text{Ischemic}} = 1.3 \pm 3.8\%$, $LS_{\text{Non-ischemic}} = -0.3 \pm 1.8\%$, $p = 0.002$). Stress test duration of HVBH-fSENC ($t = 64 \pm 2$ s) was significantly shortened compared to Ad-fSENC ($t = 184 \pm 59$ s, $p < 0.0001$) or Ad-FPP ($t = Ad-FPP: 172 \pm 59$ s, $p < 0.0001$).

Conclusions: Stress testing by HVBH-fSENC provides promising diagnostic accuracy to detect myocardial ischemia and is faster than established methods without the necessity to apply contrast agent or pharmacological stressors.